

SOLUTIONS: ASSIGNMENT 3

2.4.15 *Compute the matrix product*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -5 \\ -2 & 5 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Explain why the result does not contradict Fact 2.4.9.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -5 \\ -2 & 5 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 - 2 - 5 & -1 - 4 + 5 \\ -16 + 5 + 11 & 2 + 10 - 11 \end{bmatrix} = I_2.$$

Fact 2.4.9 only applies if the two matrices being multiplied are $n \times n$. Since these two matrices are 2×3 and 3×2 they need not be invertible.

2.4.20 *True / False: For two invertible $n \times n$ matrices A and B , $(A - B)(A + B) = A^2 - B^2$.*

False.

$$(A - B)(A + B) = A^2 - B^2 + AB - BA \neq A^2 - B^2$$

because matrix multiplication is noncommutative.

2.4.23 *True / False: $(ABA^{-1})^3 = AB^3A^{-1}$.*

True.

$$(ABA^{-1})^3 = (ABA^{-1})(ABA^{-1})(ABA^{-1}) = AB^3A^{-1}$$

since matrix multiplication is associative.

2.4.26 *Use the given partition to compute the product. Check your work by computing the same product without using a partition.*

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \hline 0 & A_{22} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ \hline 0 & B_{22} \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{11}B_{11} & A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} \\ \hline 0 & A_{22}B_{22} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using the partition,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}B_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \\ A_{22}B_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$AB = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{array} \right].$$

Without using the partition,

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2+1 & 3+2 \\ 3 & 4 & 4+3 & 5+4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

2.4.41 Consider the matrix

$$D_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

We know that the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = D_\alpha \vec{x}$ is a counterclockwise rotation through an angle α .

- (a) For two angles, α and β , consider the products $D_\alpha D_\beta$ and $D_\beta D_\alpha$. Arguing geometrically, describe the linear transformations $\vec{y} = D_\alpha D_\beta \vec{x}$ and $\vec{y} = D_\beta D_\alpha \vec{x}$. Are the two transformations the same?

The transformation $\vec{y} = D_\alpha D_\beta \vec{x}$ represents a counterclockwise rotation by the angle β , followed by a counterclockwise rotation by the angle α . On the other hand, the transformation $\vec{y} =$

$D_\beta D_\alpha \vec{x}$ represents a counterclockwise rotation by the angle α followed by a counterclockwise rotation by the angle β . Both transformations are a counterclockwise rotation by $\alpha + \beta$ so they are the same.

- (b) Now compute the products $D_\alpha D_\beta$ and $D_\beta D_\alpha$. Do the results make sense in terms of your answer in part (a)? Recall the trigonometric identities

$$\begin{aligned}\sin(\alpha \pm \beta) &= \sin \alpha \cos \beta \pm \cos \alpha \sin \beta \\ \cos(\alpha \pm \beta) &= \cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}D_\alpha D_\beta &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha \sin \beta & -\cos \alpha \sin \beta - \sin \alpha \cos \beta \\ \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \alpha \sin \beta & -\sin \alpha \sin \beta + \cos \alpha \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha + \beta) & -\sin(\alpha + \beta) \\ \sin(\alpha + \beta) & \cos(\alpha + \beta) \end{bmatrix} \\ D_\beta D_\alpha &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta \cos \alpha - \sin \beta \sin \alpha & -\cos \beta \sin \alpha - \sin \beta \cos \alpha \\ \sin \beta \cos \alpha + \cos \beta \sin \alpha & -\sin \beta \sin \alpha + \cos \beta \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\beta + \alpha) & -\sin(\beta + \alpha) \\ \sin(\beta + \alpha) & \cos(\beta + \alpha) \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

So $D_\alpha D_\beta = D_\beta D_\alpha$.

- 2.8 True / False: The function $T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a linear transformation.

False, T is not a linear transformation because it does not satisfy $T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

- 2.15 True/False: The matrix $\begin{bmatrix} k & -2 \\ 5 & k-6 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible for all real numbers k .

True. A 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if its determinant $ad - bc \neq 0$. The determinant of this matrix is

$$k(k-6) + 10 = k^2 - 6k + 10 = (k^2 - 6k + 9) + 1 = (k-3)^2 + 1 \neq 0.$$

2.40 *True/False: If A^2 is invertible, then the matrix A itself is invertible.*

True. If A^2 is invertible, there is a matrix B such that $(A^2)B = I$. Since matrix multiplication is associative, $A(AB) = I$. In other words, A is invertible and AB is its inverse.

2.46 *If A is an $n \times n$ matrix such that $A^2 = 0$, then the matrix $I_n + A$ must be invertible.*

True, since $(I_n + A)(I_n - A) = I_n + A - A - A^2 = I_n$, so $I_n - A$ is the inverse of $I_n + A$.

3.1.8 *Find vectors that span the kernel of the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.*

$$\text{rref}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x_1 - x_3 = 0$$

$$x_2 + 2x_3 = 0$$

$$x_3 = t$$

$$x_1 = x_3 = t$$

$$x_2 = -2x_3 = -2t$$

The kernel of A is $\begin{bmatrix} t \\ -2t \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ so it is spanned by $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

3.1.23 *Describe the image and kernel of this transformation geometrically: reflection about the line $y = \frac{x}{3}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .*

Reflection is its own inverse so this transformation is invertible. Its image is \mathbb{R}^2 and its kernel is $\{\vec{0}\}$.

3.1.32 *Give an example of a linear transformation whose image is the line spanned by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The image of a transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is the span of the column vectors of A , we can simply take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.1.42 Express the image of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

as the kernel of a matrix B .

Following the hint, the image of A is the set of vectors \vec{y} in \mathbb{R}^4 such that the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ is consistent. Writing this system explicitly in terms of coordinates,

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccccccccc} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & + & 6x_4 & = & y_1 \\ x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & 3x_3 & + & 4x_4 & = & y_2 \\ x_1 & + & 3x_2 & + & 5x_3 & + & 2x_4 & = & y_3 \\ x_1 & + & 4x_2 & + & 7x_3 & & & = & y_4 \end{array} \right|$$

Reducing to row-echelon form,

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccccccccc} x_1 & & - & x_3 & + & 8x_4 & = & & 4y_3 & - & 3y_4 \\ & x_2 & + & 2x_3 & - & 2x_4 & = & & - & y_3 & + & y_4 \\ & 0 & & & & & = & y_1 & - & 3y_3 & + & 2y_4 \\ & 0 & & & & & = & & y_2 & - & 2y_3 & + & y_4 \end{array} \right|$$

If \vec{y} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^4 , we can always choose the appropriate \vec{x} so that the first two equations are true, so the system is consistent if and only if \vec{y} is a solution to the last two equations. In other words, \vec{y} is in the kernel of the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.1.52 Consider a $p \times m$ matrix A and a $q \times m$ matrix B , and form the partitioned matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}.$$

What is the relationship between $\ker(A)$, $\ker(B)$, and $\ker(C)$?

If \vec{x} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^m , then $C\vec{x} = 0$ if and only if both $A\vec{x} = 0$ and $B\vec{x} = 0$. Therefore

$$\ker(C) = \ker(A) \cap \ker(B).$$

3.2.2 Is W a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 ?

$$W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} : x \leq y \leq z \right\}$$

No, because W is not closed under scalar multiplication. For example, $(0, 0, 1)$ is in W , but $-1(0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, -1)$ is not in W .

3.2.5 Give a geometrical description of all subspaces of \mathbb{R}^3 . Justify your answer.

A subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 is either \mathbb{R}^3 itself, a plane containing the origin, a line through the origin, and the origin itself. This is because a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 can contain either 3, 2, 1 or 0 linearly independent vectors, respectively.

3.2.34 Consider the 5×4 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} | & | & | & | \end{matrix} \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 & \vec{v}_4 \\ \begin{matrix} | & | & | & | \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are told that the vector $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ is in the kernel of A . Write \vec{v}_4 as a linear combination of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = A\vec{x} &= \vec{v}_1 + 2\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 + 4\vec{v}_4 \\ \vec{v}_4 &= -\frac{1}{4}\vec{v}_1 - \frac{2}{4}\vec{v}_2 - \frac{3}{4}\vec{v}_3 \end{aligned}$$

3.2.36 Consider a linear transformation T from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^p and some linearly dependent vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Are the vectors $T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \dots, T(\vec{v}_m)$ necessarily linearly dependent? How can you tell?

The $T(\vec{v}_i)$ are linearly dependent because they satisfy the same linear dependence relation as the \vec{v}_i . Suppose that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are constants, not all 0, such that

$$a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_m\vec{v}_m = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= T(a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_m \vec{v}_m) \\ &= T(a_1 \vec{v}_1) + T(a_2 \vec{v}_2) + \cdots + T(a_m \vec{v}_m) \\ &= a_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + a_2 T(\vec{v}_2) + \cdots + a_m T(\vec{v}_m) \end{aligned}$$