Prof. Ming Gu, 861 Evans, tel: 2-3145 Office Hours: TuWTh 12:00-1:30PM Email: mgu@math.berkeley.edu http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~mgu/MA221

Math221: Matrix Computations Homework #4 Selected Solutions

- 2.7: Since A is nonsingular, all diagonal entries of D must be non-zero. Define $U = D M^T$, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that all leading principal submatrices of A are non-singular and the LU factorization of A uniquely exists as A = LU, with U defined as above. On the other hand, since A is symmetric, $A = A^T = M(DL^T)$ is another LU factorization for A. Because of uniqueness, we must have L = M.
- 2.16: We assume that a BLAS-2 level Cholesky factorization routine Chol2 exists. The following algorithm is a BLAS-3 version of Cholesky factorization algorithm, assuming lower triangular storage:

$$\begin{split} &\text{for } j=1 \text{ to } n \text{ step } b \\ &A_{j:j+b-1,j:j+b-1} = \operatorname{dsyrk} \left(A_{j:j+b-1,j:j+b-1}, A_{j:j+b-1,1:j-1}\right). \\ &A_{j:j+b-1,j:j+b-1} = \operatorname{Chol2} \left(A_{j:j+b-1,j:j+b-1}\right). \\ &A_{j+b:n,j:j+b-1} = \operatorname{dgemm} \left(A_{j+b:n,j:j+b-1}, A_{j+b:n,1:j-1}, A_{j:j+b-1,1:j-1}^T\right). \\ &A_{j+b:n,j:j+b-1} = \operatorname{dtrsm} \left(A_{j+b:n,j:j+b-1}, A_{j:j+b-1,j:j+b-1}\right). \\ &\text{endfor} \end{split}$$

In this algorithm, dsyrk(X,Y) is the BLAS routine for symmetric rank k update:

$$X = X - Y * Y^T,$$

which is only carried out on the lower triangular part of X; $\operatorname{dgemm}(X, Y, Z)$ is the BLAS matrix-matrix multiplication routine

$$X = X - Y * Z;$$

and dtrsm(Y, X) is the BLAS routine for block forward substitution:

$$Y = Y X^{-T},$$

where X is assumed to be lower triangular and only its lower triangular part will be accessed inside dtrsm. On output, the lower triangular part of A is the Cholesky factor L.

The correctness of this algorithm can be proved with the following 3×3 block Cholesky factorization:

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_{1,1} & & \\ L_{2,1} & L_{2,2} & \\ L_{3,1} & L_{3,2} & L_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} L_{1,1} & & \\ L_{2,1} & L_{2,2} & \\ L_{3,1} & L_{3,2} & L_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} \\ A_{3,1} & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In these equations, we will identify $A_{2,2}$ with the *j*-th block $A_{j:j+b-1,j:j+b-1}$. The function calls to dsyrk and Chol2 correspond to the equation at the (2,2) block entry:

$$L_{2,2}L_{2,2}^T = A_{2,2} - L_{2,1}L_{2,1}^T,$$

and the function calls to dgemm and dtrsm correspond to the equation at the (3,2) block entry:

$$L_{3,2}L_{2,2}^T = A_{3,2} - L_{3,1}L_{2,1}^T.$$

• Hager's condition estimator: In exact arithmatic and for any n > 1 in the counter example, hager's condition estimator should always think of vector $x = (1, \dots, 1)^T/n$ as the optimal 1-norm vector and output $||Bx||_1$ as its 1-norm estimate, regardless the value of scl. This changes in finite arithmatic. For very large values of scl, computations in hager's condition estimator are dominated by round-off errors. This could (and does) cause hager's condition estimator to search for better directions in the "wrong" places. Paradoxically, this allows hager's condition estimator to find far better 1-norm estimates for the counter example.