

Math 110 Homework 13
Partial Solutions

If you have any questions about these solutions, or about any problem not solved, please ask via email or in office hours, etc.

- 6.1.10 Suppose that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. Then $\|x + y\|^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$.
- 6.1.18 Suppose that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ defines an inner product. If $x \in N(T)$, then $\langle x, x \rangle' = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle = 0$. Thus $x = 0$, and so T is one-to-one. On the other hand, suppose that T is one-to-one. For any $x, y, z \in V$, we have $\langle T(x + y), T(z) \rangle = \langle T(x) + T(y), T(z) \rangle = \langle T(x), T(z) \rangle + \langle T(y), T(z) \rangle$, and for every $x, y \in V$ and every $c \in F$, $\langle T(cx), T(y) \rangle = \langle cT(x), T(y) \rangle = c\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle$. This establishes the linearity of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$. Further, for any $x, y \in V$, $\overline{\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle} = \langle T(y), T(x) \rangle$. Finally, suppose that $x \neq 0$. Then $T(x) \neq 0$ since T is one-to-one. Thus $\langle x, x \rangle' = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle > 0$. Thus $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ is an inner product.
- 6.2.7 One direction is easy. If $z \in W^\perp$ then $\langle z, v \rangle = 0$ for every $v \in \beta$. On the other hand, suppose that $\langle z, v \rangle = 0$ for every $v \in \beta$. If $w \in W$ is any vector, then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \beta$ such that $w = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$. Then $\langle z, w \rangle = a_1\langle z, v_1 \rangle + \dots + a_n\langle z, v_n \rangle = 0$. Thus $z \in W^\perp$.
- 6.2.13 (a) Suppose that $S_0 \subseteq S$. Let $x \in S^\perp$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in S$. Then surely $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in S_0$. Thus $x \in S_0^\perp$.
- (b) Suppose that $x \in S$. Then surely $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in S^\perp$ by definition of S^\perp . But then $x \in (S^\perp)^\perp$. Since $(S^\perp)^\perp$ is a subspace of V , we also have $\text{span}(S) \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$.
- (c) We already have that $W \subseteq (W^\perp)^\perp$. Suppose now that $x \in (W^\perp)^\perp$. If $x \notin W$, then there exist unique $w \in W$ and $0 \neq z \in W^\perp$ such that $x = w + z$. But then $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle w + z, z \rangle = \langle w, z \rangle + \langle z, z \rangle = \langle z, z \rangle > 0$ since $w \in W$, $z \in W^\perp$ and $z \neq 0$. But this contradicts the fact that $x \in (W^\perp)^\perp$. Thus we must have $x \in W$ and $W = (W^\perp)^\perp$.
- (d) We already know that any $x \in V$ can be written uniquely as $x = w + z$ for $w \in W$ and $z \in W^\perp$. This is enough to show that $V = W \oplus W^\perp$.

6.2.14 For the first statement, suppose that $x \in (W_1 + W_2)^\perp$. Since $W_1, W_2 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$, we have that $x \in W_1^\perp$ and $x \in W_2^\perp$. Thus $(W_1 + W_2)^\perp \subseteq W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp$. On the other hand, suppose that $x \in W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp$. Then, for any $v \in W_1 + W_2$, we may write $v = y + z$ for some $y \in W_1$ and some $z \in W_2$. Then $\langle x, v \rangle = \langle x, y + z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle = 0$. Thus $x \in (W_1 + W_2)^\perp$ and so $(W_1 + W_2)^\perp = W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp$.

For the second statement, notice that, by the above, $(W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp)^\perp = W_1^{\perp\perp} \cap W_2^{\perp\perp} = W_1 \cap W_2$ since W_1 and W_2 are finite-dimensional. By applying \perp to both sides, we then have $W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp = (W_1 \cap W_2)^\perp$.

6.2.17 Suppose that $\langle T(x), y \rangle = 0$ for every $x, y \in V$. Then $T(x) = 0$ for every $x \in V$ and so $T = T_0$. If $\langle T(x), y \rangle = 0$ for every x, y in a basis, then we again conclude (by a previous hw problem) that $T(x) = 0$ for every x in a basis. But this again implies that $T = T_0$.

6.3.6 Notice that $(T + T^*)^* = T^* + T^{**} = T^* + T = T + T^*$ and that $(TT^*)^* = T^{**}T^* = TT^*$.

6.3.8 Note that $T^*(T^{-1})^* = (T^{-1}T)^* = I^* = I$. Thus T^* is invertible with inverse $(T^{-1})^*$.

6.3.12 Notice that $x \in R(T^*)^\perp$ if and only if $\langle x, T^*(y) \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in V$ if and only if $\langle T(x), y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in V$ if and only if $T(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in N(T)$.