

ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES ATTACHED TO
NEWFORMS OF WEIGHT 2

K. A. Ribet

1. Let $f = \sum a_n q^n$ be a weight-2 newform on $\Gamma_1(N)$ with character ε . Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\dots a_n \dots)$ be the subfield of \mathbb{C} generated by the coefficients of f . Let A be the abelian variety attached to f (see, e.g., [7, Th. 7.14]), viewed as an abelian variety up to isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . One knows that the \mathbb{Q} -algebra of endomorphisms of A over \mathbb{Q} is equal to E .

On the other hand, let D be the algebra of all endomorphisms of A (defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C}). If f is a form with complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic field k (in the sense of [3]), then D is a matrix algebra over k . We shall suppose, to the contrary, that f is not a form with complex multiplication. One then sees easily that E is its own commutant in D , implying that D is a central simple algebra over some subfield F of E . The determination of the structure of D (and in particular of the subfield F) has been carried out in [2] and in [4]. As we shall recall below, this structure depends on the "self twists" which f possesses.

Before discussing these twists, we will prove three simple results concerning D , of which the first is contained in [2].

Theorem 1:

The algebra D is either a matrix algebra over F , or else a matrix algebra over a quaternion division algebra with center F .

Proof (suggested by J. Tunnell):

We know a priori that D is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over some division algebra C with center F . Let n be such that D is isomorphic to $M(n, C)$. We must show that $[E:F]/n$ divides 2.

Consider the cohomology group $V = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, which is of dimension

$$2 \cdot \dim(A) = 2[E:\mathbb{Q}]$$

over \mathbb{Q} . By functoriality, D acts on V ; in particular, V is a C -vector space. Because of the D -action, the C -dimension of V is a multiple of n . We have now

$$\begin{aligned} 2[E:F] &= \dim_F(V) = (\dim_F C) \cdot (\dim_C V) \\ &= \left(\frac{[E:F]}{n} \right)^2 \cdot \dim_C V, \end{aligned}$$

so that $2 = \frac{[E:F]}{n} \cdot \frac{\dim_C V}{n}$ as desired.

Remark. This result will be proved in Section 3 by another method.

Theorem 2:

Suppose that all endomorphisms of A are defined over the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers. Then D is in fact a matrix algebra over F .

Proof:

To begin, suppose that D is not a matrix algebra. Then in the above notation, the algebra C is a quaternion division algebra over F , $[E:F]/n = 2$, and $\dim_C V = n$. Now the action of D on V breaks up V into the direct sum of n copies of a subspace W of V which is stable by C . We have

$$\text{End}_D V = \text{End}_C W.$$

On the other hand, the C -dimension of W is 1, so that $\text{End}_C W$ is a division algebra (in fact, isomorphic to C). In particular, the only involutions in $\text{End}_D V$ are the scalars $+1$ and -1 .

If we assume, however, that all endomorphisms of A are defined over \mathbb{R} , then the endomorphism of V induced by "complex conjugation" on $A(\mathbb{C})$ is D -linear. This endomorphism is an involution, and therefore a scalar. One knows that this is not the case, for example because the two summands in the Hodge decomposition of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are permuted by the complex conjugation.

Remarks

1. It is easy to see under what conditions the hypothesis to this theorem is satisfied (cf. Theorem 4 below and its corollary).
2. This theorem is obviously closely related to the results of Shimura in [6]. The proof given above was suggested by the discussion on pp. 137-139 of [6].
3. Another proof of the theorem may be given using the ℓ -adic representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ defined by f (cf. [3, (5.2)]).

Theorem 3:

Suppose that D is not a matrix algebra over F . Then A has everywhere potential good reduction.

Proof:

Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} over which A has semistable reduction. Let v be an ultrametric place of k , and denote by A^{\sim} the connected component of the special fibre of the Néron model for A at v . Then A^{\sim} is an extension of an abelian variety by a torus T . Let $r = \dim T$. By functoriality, we find a representation

$$D \rightarrow (\text{End } T) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \approx M(r, \mathbb{Q}) \quad .$$

It is obvious that $[E:\mathbb{Q}]$ divides r , and the proof of Theorem 1 shows that $2[E:\mathbb{Q}]$ divides r when D is not a matrix algebra over F . Since we have

$$r \leq \dim A = [E:\mathbb{Q}] \quad ,$$

we must have $r = 0$ under the hypothesis of the theorem.

Remark. According to the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich, the behavior of A at a prime v is determined by the ℓ -adic representations (of a decomposition group for v in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$) attached to f . Except in certain cases where v is of residue characteristic 2, these representations have been determined by Deligne (unpublished). Thus, given f , it may happen that we are able to say a priori that A does not have everywhere potential good reduction; in that case, D must be a matrix algebra. As an example, suppose that there is a prime p which exactly divides N at which the character ε is trivial. By a well known result of Deligne-Rapoport [1], the abelian variety A has purely multiplicative reduction at p . Hence D is a matrix algebra in that case.

2. The Action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on D .

When $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{C})$ is an embedding of E into \mathbb{C} , we denote by γf the newform $\sum \gamma a_n q^n$. Similarly, for χ a Dirichlet character, let $f \otimes \chi$ be the newform whose p^{th} coefficient is $\chi(p)a_p$ for all primes p prime to $N \cdot \text{cond}(\chi)$. Let

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \gamma f = f \otimes \chi \text{ for some character } \chi \} \quad .$$

One knows ([2],[4]) that Γ is an abelian subgroup of

$\text{Aut}(E)$. Further, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a unique Dirichlet character χ (which we call χ_γ) such that $\gamma f = f \otimes \chi$. For $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ we have the cocycle rule

$$\chi_{\gamma\gamma'} = \chi_\gamma \chi_{\gamma'} .$$

Also, $\chi_\gamma^2 = \varepsilon^{\gamma-1}$ for each γ . Finally, we recall (loc. cit.) that F is precisely the fixed field of Γ .

Now each element g of $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts in the usual way to give an automorphism of the algebra D , and this automorphism is the identity on the field E (which is a maximal commutative subfield of D). (The action is as follows: for $d \in D$, we define $(gd)(x) = g(d(g^{-1}x))$.) By the Skolem-Noether theorem, this automorphism is an inner automorphism

$$d \mapsto ede^{-1}$$

for an element e of E^* which is well defined modulo F^* . The numbers $\gamma e/e$ (for $\gamma \in \Gamma$) are well defined, and they together determine e as an element of the group E^*/F^* . The map $g \mapsto e$ defines a continuous character α on G with values in the discrete group E^*/F^* .

Theorem 4:

For each $g \in G$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\alpha(g)^{\gamma-1} = \chi_\gamma(g) .$$

Proof:

Let ℓ be a prime number, and let V now be the \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -adic Tate module attached to A . The action of D on V defines an inclusion

$$D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \text{End } V ,$$

and the action of G on V defines a homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell} V ,$$

which is known to be the ℓ -adic representation attached to f . For $g \in G$, the automorphism of D induced by g is the restriction to D of the automorphism of $D \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ obtained by conjugating by $\rho(g)$ in $\text{End } V$.

To compute this automorphism, we suppose that ℓ has been chosen to split completely in E . Then, in a well known way, V becomes the direct sum of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -submodules V_σ of dimension 2, indexed by the various embeddings σ of E into \mathbb{Q}_ℓ .

Let σ and τ be two such embeddings, and let H be an open normal subgroup of G . It is easy to see that we have

$$\text{Hom}_H(V_\sigma, V_\tau) = 0$$

unless $\tau = \sigma\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Moreover, if $\tau = \sigma\gamma$, then $\text{Hom}_H(V_\sigma, V_\tau)$ is of dimension 1 if H is "small" and again 0 if H is not. The action of G on $\text{Hom}_H(V_\sigma, V_\tau)$ is via the \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -valued character $\sigma\chi_\gamma$.

Now the V_σ are stable under E (as a result of their construction); an $e \in E$ acts on V_σ by multiplication by σe . Hence conjugation by e in $\text{End } V$ preserves each group $\text{Hom}(V_\sigma, V_\tau)$ and more precisely acts on this group by the scalar $\tau e / \sigma e$. In the case where $\tau = \sigma\gamma$, this quotient becomes $\sigma(e^{\gamma-1})$.

For H sufficiently "small," it was proved in [4] that we have

$$D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell = \text{End}_H V = \bigoplus_{\sigma, \tau} \text{Hom}_H(V_\sigma, V_\tau) .$$

If $e \in E$ is such that conjugation by e agrees on $D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ with conjugation by $\rho(g)$, then we have

$$\sigma\chi_\gamma(g) = \sigma(e^{\gamma-1})$$

for all $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This proves the theorem.

Corollary:

All endomorphisms of A are defined over \mathbb{R} if and only if $\chi_\gamma(-1) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

3. The Algebra D as a Crossed Product Algebra

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Hom}(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$ be the G -module of Dirichlet characters. For $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}$, we define a Gauss sum $\tau(\chi)$ in the usual way: if χ has conductor r , we view χ as a function on $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^*$ and set

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a \pmod r} \chi(a) e^{2i\pi a/r} .$$

For $g \in G$ we then have

$$g(\tau(\chi)) = \tau(\chi^g) \cdot \chi^{-g}(g) .$$

Especially, if χ and χ' are Dirichlet characters, the "Jacobi sum"

$$j(\chi, \chi') = \tau(\chi)\tau(\chi')/\tau(\chi\chi')$$

satisfies

$$j(g\chi, g\chi') = gj(\chi, \chi') .$$

With this notation, we have

Theorem 5 ([2],[4]):

The algebra D is isomorphic to the crossed product algebra

$$\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E \cdot X_\gamma$$

furnished with the multiplication table

$$X_\gamma \cdot e = \gamma(e)X_\gamma \quad (e \in E, \gamma \in \Gamma) ,$$

$$X_Y X_{Y'} = j(\chi_Y^{-1}, \chi_{Y'}^{-1}) X_{YY'} \quad (\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma) .$$

The multiplication described by the theorem arises quite naturally in the proof of the theorem, the X_Y being given by certain "twisting operators" generalizing those occurring in [5]. However, it seems that the two-cocycle $j(\chi_Y^{-1}, \chi_{Y'}^{-1})$ masks quite effectively the fact that the class of D in the Brauer group of F has order 1 or 2. We will now make a few comments on such cocycles, hoping that these comments will clarify the relation between the algebra D and the \mathfrak{X} -valued 1-cocycle $\gamma \mapsto \chi_\gamma$ on Γ .

For this, we allow F briefly to be an arbitrary finite extension of \mathbb{Q} (in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) and consider a 1-cocycle $\phi: g \mapsto \chi_g$ on $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ with values in \mathfrak{X} . (Of course, we obtain such a cocycle from the 1-cocycle on Γ defined by f by inflation.) It is easy to see that the formula

$$g, h \mapsto j(\chi_g^{-1}, \chi_h^{-g})$$

defines a 2-cocycle ψ on $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ with values in \mathfrak{X} .

Proposition 1:

The class in $H^2(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \overline{F}^*)$ of ψ is equal to the class of the 2-cocycle

$$g, h \mapsto \chi_g(h) .$$

In particular, this class depends only on the restrictions of the χ_g to $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

Proof:

We must show that the map

$$g, h \mapsto \chi_g(h)^{-1} j(\chi_g^{-1}, \chi_h^{-g})$$

is a 2-coboundary, i.e., that it may be written in the form $\alpha(g)\alpha(h)^g/\alpha(gh)$ for a suitable (continuous) function α on $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. Taking

$$\alpha(g) = \tau(\chi^{-1}) \cdot \chi_g(g) ,$$

we find after a short computation the required identity.

For E a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , we let $\chi(E) \subseteq \chi$ be the G -module of E^* -valued Dirichlet characters.

Proposition 2:

The map on cocycles $\phi \mapsto \psi$ defines a homomorphism

$$\delta_F : H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), \chi) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), \bar{F}^*) .$$

If E is a finite Galois extension of F , the subgroup $H^1(\text{Gal}(E/F), \chi(E))$ of the source is mapped by δ_F to the subgroup $H^2(\text{Gal}(E/F), E^*)$ of the target.

Proof:

The first statement is most easily proved by writing the class of ψ as the class of the cocycle given in Proposition 1. For example, we must show that multiplying ϕ by a 1-coboundary $g \mapsto \varepsilon^{g-1}$ does not change the class of ψ . For this, we observe that the expression $\chi_g(h)$ gets multiplied by $\varepsilon(h)^g/\varepsilon(h)$, a factor which may be written in the form $\varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h)^g/\varepsilon(gh)$. Thus the map $\phi \mapsto \psi$ indeed induces a map between the indicated cohomology groups, and we see immediately from Proposition 1 that the map is a homomorphism.

For the second statement, we have only to show that ψ is E^* -valued if ϕ is obtained by inflation from a cocycle on $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ with values in $\chi(E)$. This follows from the formula at the beginning of this section which gives the

behavior of Jacobi sums under automorphisms of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We now return to the context of Theorem 5. We remark that, once the dimension of D over F is known to be $[E:F]^2$, the algebra D is determined by its class in the Brauer group of F , i.e., in $H^2(\text{Gal}(F/F), \overline{F}^*)$. This class is the class of the 2-cocycle ψ described by Jacobi sums, i.e., it is the image under δ_F of the class of the 1-cocycle $\gamma \mapsto \chi_\gamma$ on Γ . This latter class already has order dividing 2, as we see from the formula $\chi_\gamma^2 = \varepsilon^{\gamma-1}$, in which ε is the (Nebentypus) character of the form f . Since δ_F is a homomorphism, we obtain an alternate proof of Theorem 1. Similarly we have

Proposition 3:

The class of D in $\text{Br}(F)$ does not change if we replace f by a twist $f \otimes \chi_\sigma$ of f .

Proof:

By inflation, we view the cocycle $\gamma \mapsto \chi_\gamma$ as a 1-cocycle on $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ with values in \overline{F}^* . When we twist f by χ_σ , we multiply this 1-cocycle by the 1-coboundary $g \mapsto (\chi_\sigma)^{g-1}$. Hence twisting does not affect the class of this cocycle in $H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), \overline{F}^*)$; a fortiori, twisting does not affect its image under δ_F .

Remark. Proposition 3 may also be obtained as a corollary of [4, (4.7)].

4. Concerning Local Triviality of D

If v is a place of F , we let F_v be the completion of F at v and set

$$D_v = D \otimes_{F_v} F_v .$$

Since D has order dividing 2 in the Brauer group of F , D_v has order dividing 2 in the Brauer group $\text{Br}(F_v)$ of F_v . In case v is an archimedean (i.e., real) place of F , the order of D_v in $\text{Br}(F_v)$ is already known to be 1 [2]. (This means that the algebra C of Section 1 is either F itself or else a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over F .) Therefore, we will discuss only non-archimedean places of F .

Suppose that v is such a place, say of residue characteristic p . Suppose further that p is prime to the level of f . We say that f is ordinary at v if the number $a_p^2 \varepsilon(p)^{-1}$, easily seen to be in F , is prime to v .

Theorem 6:

If v is ordinary, then D_v is a matrix algebra over F_v .

Proof:

Our hypothesis on p implies that A has good reduction at p . Let A^\sim be the reduction of A at p , and let V be the \mathbb{Q}_p -adic Tate module made from points of p -power order in $A^\sim(\mathbb{F}_p)$. As is well known, the \mathbb{Q}_p -dimension of V is equal to the number of p -adic unit roots of the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism of $A \mathbb{F}_p$. This polynomial is the norm from E to \mathbb{Q} of the polynomial

$$\phi(t) = T^2 - a_p T + p\varepsilon(p) \in E[T] .$$

The polynomial $\phi(T)$ itself controls the structure of V as an $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ -module.

Namely, we first remark that the endomorphisms of A act on V , so that V may be considered as a $D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ -module and hence in particular as an $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ -module or an $F \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ -module. As an $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ -module, V becomes the sum of subspaces V_w corresponding to the various completions E_w of E at the primes w of E dividing p . Each V_w is an E_w -vector space of dimension 1 or 0, and the dimension is more precisely the number of unit roots of $\phi(T)$, considered as a polynomial over E_w . Clearly $\phi(T)$ has a unit root if and only if the prime v of F induced by w is ordinary.

It follows that if v is an ordinary prime of F , the F_v -vector space

$$\begin{aligned} V_v &= V \otimes_{(F \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)} F_v \\ &= \bigoplus_{w|v} V_w \end{aligned}$$

has dimension $\sum_{w|v} [E_w:F_v] = [E:F]$. It is, on the other hand, a D_v -module. Reasoning identical to that used in Section 1 shows that D_v is a matrix algebra over F_v .

References

1. Deligne, P. and Rapoport, P. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques, Lecture Notes in Math. 349, 143-316 (1973).
2. Momose, F. On the ℓ -adic representations attached to modular forms, to appear.
3. Ribet, K. Galois representations attached to eigenforms with nebentypus, Lecture Notes in Math. 601, 17-52 (1977).
4. Ribet, K. Twists of modular forms and endomorphisms

of abelian varieties, Math. Ann, to appear.

5. Shimura, G. On the factors of the Jacobian variety of a modular function field, J. Math. Soc. Japan 25, 523-544 (1973).
6. Shimura, G. On the real points of an arithmetic quotient of a bounded symmetric domain, Math. Ann. 215, 135-164 (1975).
7. Shimura, G. Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971.

Ecole Polytechnique

Current Address:

Mathematics Department
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720 USA