

## Cohomology and Base Change

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories and  $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and additive functor. We say  $T$  is *half-exact* if whenever  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, the sequence  $T(M') \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow T(M'')$  is exact.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\eta: T' \rightarrow T$  be a morphism of half exact functors, and for each  $M$ , let  $T''(M)$  be the cokernel of  $\eta_M$ . Then  $T''$  is half-exact if  $T'$  is right exact.*

*Proof:* If  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T'(M') & \longrightarrow & T'(M) & \longrightarrow & T'(M'') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 T(M') & \longrightarrow & T(M) & \longrightarrow & T(M'') & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 T''(M') & \longrightarrow & T''(M) & \longrightarrow & T''(M'') & & 
 \end{array}$$

The rows and columns are exact and the bottom vertical arrows are surjective. A diagram chase shows that the bottom row is exact.  $\square$

The following useful lemma is not especially well known.

**Theorem 2 (Nakayama's lemma for half-exact functors)** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a local homomorphism of noetherian local rings and  $T$  a half-exact functor  $A$ -linear functor from the category of finitely generated  $A$ -modules to the category of finitely generated  $B$ -modules. Let  $k$  be the residue field of  $A$ . Then if  $T(k) = 0$ , in fact  $T(M) = 0$  for all  $M$ .*

*Proof:* Our hypothesis is that  $T(k) = 0$ , and we want to conclude that  $T(M) = 0$  for every finitely generated  $A$ -module  $M$ . Consider the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of submodules  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $T(M/M') \neq 0$ . Our claim is that the  $0$  submodule does not belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and so of course it will suffice to prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is empty. Assuming otherwise, we see from the fact that  $M$  is noetherian that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a maximal element  $M'$ . Let  $M'' := M/M'$ . Then  $T(M'') \neq 0$ , but  $T(M''') = 0$  for every nontrivial quotient  $M'''$  of  $M''$ . We shall see that this leads to a contradiction. To simplify the notation, we replace  $M$  by  $M''$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $T(M) = 0$  under the assumption that  $T(M'') = 0$  for every proper quotient of  $M$ .

Let  $I$  be the annihilator of  $M$  and let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the maximal ideal of  $A$ . If  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of a (finite number of) copies of  $k$ . By assumption,  $T(k) = 0$ , hence  $T(M) = 0$ , a contradiction. So there exists

an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $a \notin I$ . Let  $M'$  be the kernel of multiplication of  $a$  on  $M$ , so that there are exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow aM \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow aM \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/aM \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence also exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} T(M') \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow T(aM) \\ T(aM) \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow T(M/aM). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $aM \neq 0$ , since  $a$  does not belong to the annihilator of  $M$ , and hence  $M/aM$  is a proper quotient of  $M$ , and hence  $T(M/aM) = 0$ .

Case 1: If  $M' \neq 0$ , then the first sequence above shows that  $aM$  is also a proper quotient of  $M$ , and hence also  $T(aM) = 0$ , and then the last sequence implies that  $T(M) = 0$ .

Case 2: If  $M' = 0$ , we find exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{a} M \longrightarrow M/aM \rightarrow 0 \\ T(M) \xrightarrow{a} T(M) \longrightarrow T(M/aM) \end{aligned}$$

However we still know that  $T(M/aM) = 0$ , hence multiplication by  $a$  on  $T(M)$  is surjective. But  $T(M)$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module and multiplication by  $a$  on this module is the same as multiplication by  $\theta(a)$ . Since  $\theta(a)$  belongs to the maximal ideal of  $B$ , Nakayama's lemma implies that  $T(M) = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

Here are two important applications to flatness.

**Theorem 3 (local criterion for flatness)** *Let  $\theta: A \rightarrow B$  be a local homomorphism of noetherian local rings and let  $N$  be a finitely generated  $B$ -module. Then  $N$  is flat as an  $A$ -module if and only if  $Tor_1^A(N, A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ .*

*Proof:* To prove that  $N$  is flat as an  $A$ -module, it suffices to prove that  $Tor_1^A(N, M) = 0$  for all finitely generated  $A$ -modules  $M$ . The functor  $M \mapsto Tor_1^A(N, M)$  is half-exact,  $A$ -linear, and takes finitely generated  $A$ -modules to finitely generated  $B$ -modules. Thus the result follows from Nakayama's lemma for the half exact functor  $Tor_1^A(N, \cdot)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4 (Criterion of Flatness along the Fiber)** *Let  $R \rightarrow A$  and  $A \rightarrow B$  be local homomorphisms of noetherian local rings, let  $k$  be the residue field of  $R$ , and let  $N$  be a finitely generated  $B$ -module. If  $N$  is flat over  $R$  and  $N \otimes_R k$  is flat over  $A \otimes_R k$ , then  $N$  is flat over  $A$ .*

*Proof:* We will need the following:

**Lemma 5** *Let  $A$  be a ring, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$ , and let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Suppose that  $M/IM$  is flat as an  $A/I$ -module and also that  $Tor_1^A(A/I, M) = 0$ . Then  $Tor_1^A(A/J, M) = 0$  for every ideal  $J$  containing  $I$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules, with  $F$  free. Since  $\text{Tor}_1^A(A/I, M) = 0$ , the sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow K/IK \rightarrow F/IF \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of  $A/I$ -modules. Since  $M/IM$  is flat over  $A/I$ , the sequence remains exact if we tensor over  $A/I$  with  $A/J$ , so the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K/JK \rightarrow F/JF \rightarrow M/JM \rightarrow 0$$

is still exact. However,  $F$  is free over  $A$ , and we also have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/J) \rightarrow K/JK \rightarrow F/JF \rightarrow M/JM \rightarrow 0$$

Hence  $\text{Tor}_1^A(A/J, M) = 0$ . □

Now to prove the theorem, let  $\mathfrak{m}_R$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$  and let  $I := \mathfrak{m}_R A$ . Then we have a surjective map  $\mathfrak{m}_R \otimes_R A \rightarrow I$ , and hence also a surjective map:

$$\mathfrak{m}_R \otimes_R A \otimes_A N \rightarrow I \otimes_A N.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{m}_R \otimes_R A \otimes_A N \cong \mathfrak{m}_R \otimes_R N$ , we find maps

$$\mathfrak{m}_R \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{f} I \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{g} N$$

We have just seen that  $f$  is surjective. On the other hand, the kernel of  $g \circ f$  is  $\text{Tor}_R^1(k, N) = 0$ , so  $g \circ f$  is injective. Then it follows that  $f$  is also injective, hence an isomorphism, and hence that  $g$  is injective. The kernel of  $g$  is  $\text{Tor}_1^A(A/I, N)$ , so this also vanishes. Furthermore,  $N/IN \cong N \otimes_A (A/I) \cong N \otimes_A A \otimes_R k \cong N \otimes_R k$ , and since  $N$  is flat over  $R$ ,  $N \otimes_R k$  is flat over  $A \otimes_R k \cong A/I$ . By the lemma, it follows that  $\text{Tor}_1^A(A/J, N) = 0$  for every ideal  $J$  containing  $I$  and in particular for  $J$  equal to the maximal ideal of  $A$ . By the local criterion for flatness, this implies that  $N$  is flat over  $A$ . □

**Proposition 6** *Let  $A$  be a ring, and let  $T$  be an  $A$ -linear homomorphism from the category of  $A$ -modules to itself. Then there is a natural transformation  $\eta: T(A) \otimes \rightarrow T$ . This functor is an isomorphism if and only if  $T$  is right exact and commutes with direct limits.*

*Proof:* An element  $x$  of  $M$  defines a homomorphism  $\theta_x: A \rightarrow M$  and hence a homomorphism  $T(\theta_x): T(A) \rightarrow T(M)$ . Define  $T(A) \times M \rightarrow T(M)$  by  $(t, x) \mapsto \theta_x(t)$ . This map is bilinear and hence defines a natural homomorphism

$$\eta_M: T(A) \otimes M \rightarrow T(M).$$

Since  $T$  is additive, it commutes with finite direct sums, and hence  $\eta_M$  is an isomorphism if  $M$  is free and finitely generated. Assume that  $T$  is right exact and commutes with direct limits. For any  $M$ , there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

with  $E$  free and finitely generated, and hence a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T(A) \otimes K & \rightarrow & T(A) \otimes E & \rightarrow & T(A) \otimes M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
T(K) & \longrightarrow & T(E) & \longrightarrow & T(M) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

The middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism, and it follows that the right vertical arrow is surjective. Since  $A$  is noetherian,  $K$  is finitely generated, and we can conclude that the left vertical arrow is surjective. Then the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism. This proves that  $\eta_M$  is an isomorphism if  $M$  is finitely generated, and the same is true for all  $M$  since both sides commute with direct limits. The converse is obvious, since tensor products are right exact and commute with direct limits.  $\square$

**Corollary 7** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a local homomorphism of noetherian local rings and let  $T$  be a half exact and linear functor from the category of noetherian  $A$ -modules to itself. Assume that  $T$  takes finitely generated modules to finitely generated modules and commutes with direct limits.*

1. *If  $T(k) = 0$ , then  $T(M) = 0$  for all  $M$ .*
2. *If  $T(A) \rightarrow T(k)$  is surjective, then  $T$  is right exact and the natural transformation  $T(A) \otimes \rightarrow T$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof:* Statement (1) follows from Nakayama's lemma for half-exact functors. To prove (2), let  $T'(M) := T(A) \otimes_A M$  and let  $T''(M)$  be the cokernel of the map  $\eta_M T'(M) \rightarrow T(M)$  defined above. The functor  $T''$  takes finitely generated modules to finitely generated modules and is half exact by Lemma 1. By Nakayama's lemma for half-exact functors,  $T'' = 0$ . Then  $\eta_M$  is surjective for all  $M$ . It follows easily that  $T$  is right exact, and hence that  $\eta_M$  is an isomorphism by Proposition 6. Note that for statement (2), we just need to assume that  $T(A)$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

**Theorem 8 (cohomology and base change)** *Let  $X/S$  be a proper scheme, where  $S = \text{Spec } A$  and  $A$  is a noetherian local ring, and let  $E$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$  which is flat over  $S$ . If  $M$  is an  $A$ -module, let  $E \otimes M$  denote the quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$  obtained by tensoring the pullback of  $\tilde{M}$  to  $X$  with  $E$ . In particular, if  $M$  is the residue field of  $A$ ,  $E \otimes M$  identifies with restriction of  $E$  to the closed fiber  $X_k$  over  $k$ . Then the following statement hold.*

1. *If  $H^q(X_k, E_k) = 0$ , then  $H^q(X, E \otimes M) = 0$  for all  $M$ .*
2. *If  $H^q(X, E) \otimes_A k \rightarrow H^q(X_k, E_k)$  is surjective, then it is an isomorphism, and in fact  $H^q(X, E) \otimes_A M \rightarrow H^q(X, E \otimes M)$  is an isomorphism for all  $M$ .*

3. Suppose that  $H^q(X, E) \otimes_A k \rightarrow H^q(X_k, E_k)$  is surjective. Then  $H^q(X, E)$  is flat if and only if  $H^{q-1}(X, E) \otimes k \rightarrow H^{q-1}(X_k, E_k)$  is surjective.

*Proof:* For each  $q$ , let  $T^q$  be the functor taking an  $A$ -module  $M$  to  $H^q(X, E \otimes M)$ . Since  $E$  is flat, an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  yields an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow E \otimes M' \rightarrow E \otimes M \rightarrow E \otimes M'' \rightarrow 0$ , and so the functors  $T^q$  fit into a cohomological  $\delta$ -functor. In particular, each  $T^q$  is half-exact. Furthermore it takes finitely generated modules to finitely generated modules because  $X/A$  is proper, and it commutes with direct limits by a Čech calculation, or using the fact that  $X$  is noetherian. Thus statements (1) and (2) follow from the corresponding statements of Corollary 7. To prove (3), note that since  $T^q$  forms a cohomological  $\delta$ -functor,  $T^q$  is left exact if and only if  $T^{q-1}$  is right exact. If  $H^q(X, E) \otimes k \rightarrow H^q(X_k, E_k)$  is surjective, then  $T^q(M) = H^q(E \otimes M) \cong H^q(E) \otimes_A M$  for all  $M$ . Thus  $H^q(E)$  is flat if and only if  $T^q$  is left exact, which is the case if and only if  $T^{q-1}$  is right exact, equivalently, if and only if  $H^{q-1}(X, E) \otimes k \rightarrow H^{q-1}(X_k, E_k)$  is surjective.  $\square$