

A calculation of Pin^+ bordism groups

R. C. KIRBY¹ and L. R. TAYLOR¹

We begin by recalling the definition of the Pin and $Spin$ -bordism groups. For each integer $n \geq 1$ there are compact Lie groups, $Spin(n)$, $Pin^-(n)$ and $Pin^+(n)$. Atiyah, Bott and Shapiro [ABS], described the groups $Spin(n)$ and $Pin^-(n)$ in terms of the Clifford algebra associated to the negative definite form on \mathbf{R}^n . Lam [L], describes these as well as $Pin^+(n)$, the group coming from the Clifford algebra associated to the positive definite form on \mathbf{R}^n . Another definition is the following. The group $Spin(n)$ is the double cover of the group $SO(n)$. It is a $Z/2$ central extension of $SO(n)$ and is classified by $w_2 \in H^2(BSO(n); Z/2)$: indeed it is the unique non-trivial $Z/2$ central extension. The two groups Pin^\pm are double covers of $O(n)$. They are also $Z/2$ central extensions: Pin^- is classified by $w_2 + w_1^2 \in H^2(BO(n); Z/2)$ and Pin^+ is classified by w_2 .

There is a bordism theory of manifolds with $Spin$, Pin^- , or Pin^+ structure, and we use the term bordism groups for the bordism groups of a point. Anderson, Brown and Peterson calculated the $Spin$ -bordism groups, [ABP1], and the Pin^- -bordism groups, [ABP2]. We complete the story by calculating the Pin^+ -bordism groups.

Both the Pin^\pm -bordism groups are 2-torsion, and they have cyclic summands of order equal to an arbitrarily high power of 2. Both bordism groups are modules over the $Spin$ bordism ring. Of the real projective spaces, the RP^{4k} 's have Pin^+ structures and the RP^{4k+2} 's have Pin^- structures. The other result in this paper is that Pin^\pm -bordism, modulo the $Spin$ bordism submodule generated by the real projective spaces, is a $Z/2$ vector space.

To describe our results in more detail, recall the 2-local decomposition of the spectrum $MSpin$ from [ABP1].

$$MSpin \rightarrow \bigvee_{k \geq 0} \pi(2k) \mathbf{bo}\langle 8k \rangle \bigvee_{k > 0} \pi(2k + 1) \mathbf{bo}\langle 8k + 2 \rangle \bigvee_{k > 0} \alpha(k) \mathbf{K}(Z/2, k)$$

where $\mathbf{bo}\langle r \rangle$ denotes the spectrum obtained from the usual BO spectrum by killing all the homotopy groups in dimensions less than r , and $\mathbf{K}(A, r)$ denotes the Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum with one non-zero homotopy group isomorphic to A

¹Partially supported by the N.S.F.

$\pi_{8n+i} =$		$8n$	$8n+1$	$8n+2$	$8n+3$	$8n+4$	$8n+5$	$8n+6$	$8n+7$
$\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle$	$Z/2 \oplus Z/2$	$Z/2$	$Z/2^{4n+1}$	$Z/2$	$Z/2$	0	$Z/2^{4n+2}$	$Z/2$	$Z/2$
$\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle$	$Z/2^{4n-1}$	$Z/2$	$Z/2 \oplus Z/2$	$Z/2$	$Z/2^{4n+2}$	$Z/2$	$Z/2$	0	0

for $0 \leq i < 8, n \geq 0$ and $8n + i \geq 3$. In the case $n = 0, i = 0$ or $1,$

$$\pi_i(\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = \pi_i(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = 0.$$

In the case $n = 0, i = 2,$

$$\pi_2(\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = \pi_2(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = Z/2.$$

COROLLARY 2. *The top line of the first table, with $n = 0,$ gives the Pin^- bordism groups through dimension 7; the second line of the first table, with $n = 0,$ gives the Pin^+ bordism groups through dimension 7.*

An alternate calculation of these bordism groups through dimension 4 is given in [KT]. While trying to understand these low-dimensional calculations, we were led to the general results presented here. The proofs will be given in the second section and a short table of the bordism groups is included at the end of the paper.

Notice that Pin^- bordism is a $Z/2$ vector space except in dimensions congruent to $2 \pmod 4$. Moreover, RP^n has a Pin^- structure if n is congruent to $2 \pmod 4$. Likewise, Pin^+ bordism is a $Z/2$ vector space except in dimensions congruent to $0 \pmod 4$ and RP^n has a Pin^+ structure if n is congruent to $0 \pmod 4$.

Recall some facts about the structure of the *Spin* bordism ring. The $\mathbf{bo}\langle \rangle$ factors are indexed by partitions. For a fixed $n = 8k$ we have a different $\mathbf{bo}\langle 8k \rangle$ for each partition, $J,$ of $2k$ such that J has no 1's in it. For any partition, let $n(J)$ denote the sum of the elements of $J,$ or in other words, $n(J)$ is the integer for which J is a partition. The $\mathbf{bo}\langle 8k + 2 \rangle$'s are indexed by the partitions, $J,$ with no 1's for which $n(J) = 2k + 1$. In the sequel, let $\mathbf{bo}\langle J \rangle$ denote $\mathbf{bo}\langle 4n(J) \rangle$ if $n(J)$ is even or $\mathbf{bo}\langle 4n(J) - 2 \rangle$ if $n(J)$ is odd. There is also a copy of $\mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle$. There are elements M_J in dimensions $4n(J),$ where J is a partition of $n(J)$ with no 1's. These manifolds satisfy the condition that in our fixed decomposition of $\mathbf{MSpin},$ the bordism class of M_J is a generator of $\pi_{4n(J)} \mathbf{bo}\langle J \rangle$ and maps to zero in $\pi_{4n(J)}$ of all the other summands.

Let $X(J, n) = RP^n \times M_J$ if $n(J)$ is even. If n is even, fix a Pin^\pm structure on RP^n and consider $X(J, n)$ as an element of Pin^\pm bordism. If $n(J)$ is odd, $RP^n \times M_J$ will be divisible by 2 in the corresponding Pin bordism group, so let $X(J, n)$ denote an element in Pin^\pm bordism such that $2X(J, n) = RP^n \times M_J$. Note that for Pin^+ bordism we are asserting that $M_J = M_J \times RP^0$ is divisible by 2. Let $C(J, 2n)$ denote a cyclic group whose order is the order of the element $X(J, 2n)$ in

the appropriate Pin bordism group. There are natural maps $C(J, 4n) \rightarrow MPin^+_{4n(J)+4n}$ and $C(J, 4n+2) \rightarrow MPin^-_{4n(J)+4n+2}$.

THEOREM 3. *The order of $X(J, 2n)$ is given as follows:*

	$2n = 8k$	$2n = 8k + 2$	$2n = 8k + 4$	$2n = 8k + 6$
$n(J)$ even	2^{4k+1}	2^{4k+3}	2^{4k+4}	2^{4k+4}
$n(J)$ odd	2^{4k+2}	2^{4k+2}	2^{4k+3}	2^{4k+5}

The sum of the natural maps

$$\bigoplus_{J,n} C(J, 4n) \rightarrow MPin^*_*$$

is injective with image a summand: the complementary summand is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ vector space. The sum of the natural maps

$$\bigoplus_{J,n} C(J, 4n+2) \rightarrow MPin^-_*$$

is injective with image a summand: the complementary summand is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ vector space. In both sums, $n \geq 0$ and J runs over all partitions with no 1's.

COROLLARY 4. *The Pin^+ bordism groups, modulo the $Spin$ bordism submodule generated by the RP^{4n} , are $\mathbb{Z}/2$ vector spaces. The Pin^- bordism groups, modulo the $Spin$ bordism submodule generated by the RP^{4n+2} , are $\mathbb{Z}/2$ vector spaces.*

Finally, we pause to consider the standard question of the image of Pin^+ bordism in unoriented bordism, denoted \mathcal{N}_* . Using the techniques of Anderson, Brown and Peterson [ABP2], we show

COROLLARY 5. *The image of the natural map $MPin^*_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_*$ equals all bordism classes all of whose Stiefel–Whitney numbers involving $w_2(\tau)$ vanish, where τ denotes the tangent bundle.*

After this paper was submitted, we learned of the paper of Giambalvo [G], which also calculates $MPin^+$ bordism. Giambalvo does the calculation via the Adams' spectral sequence and arrives at the same answer we do. He also attempted to analyse the role of the RP^{2n} 's in Pin^+ and Pin^- bordism, using the map ψ described below, but his results differ considerably from ours. Specifically, we claim that the order of RP^{8n+4} in Pin^+ bordism is 2^{8n+4} and that his Corollary 3.5 is

wrong (see the discussion preceding Theorem 3). The table on page 399 is also incorrect: the factor corresponding to $\mathbf{M}(2) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 8 \rangle$ is missing and the Z_2^8 should be $Z/2^8$.

We would like to thank S. Stolz for numerous conversations on the subject of *Pin* bordism.

Proofs

We begin with two lemmas to reduce the calculation to a diagram chase.

LEMMA 6. *The i th Pin^+ bordism group is isomorphic to*

$$\pi_i(\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge \mathbf{M}(4k + 3)) \quad \text{for any } k \geq 0.$$

The i th Pin^- bordism group is isomorphic to

$$\pi_i(\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge \mathbf{M}(4k + 1)) \quad \text{for any } k \geq 0.$$

In both cases, the usual transversality construction gives the isomorphism.

Proof. Let us begin with the Pin^+ case. Standard transversality constructions identify $\pi_i(\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge \mathbf{M}(4k + 3))$ with the bordism theory of i -dimensional manifolds with a *Spin* structure on the bundle $\tau \oplus (4k + 3) \det(\tau)$, where τ is the tangent bundle to the manifold and $\det(\tau)$ is the determinant line bundle. It is easy to check that for any bundle η , 4η has a canonical *Spin* structure, so the above bordism theory is equivalent to the bordism theory of i -dimensional manifolds with a *Spin* structure on the bundle $\tau \oplus 3 \det(\tau)$. Next one can compute that any bundle η has a Pin^+ structure iff $\eta \oplus 3 \det(\eta)$ has a *Spin* structure, and, since this is a universal relation, one can set up a one-to-one correspondence between *Spin* structures on $\eta \oplus 3 \det(\eta)$ and Pin^+ structures on η . Hence our bordism theory is equivalent to the bordism theory of i -dimensional manifolds with a Pin^+ structure on the tangent bundle.

The Pin^- case is entirely similar. □

Let $\mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0) = e^0 \cup e^1$ with attaching map of degree 2 and denote the homotopy i th group of $\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge \mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0)$ by $(\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge Z/2)_i$. These groups can largely be calculated by applying *Spin* bordism to the cofibration sequence $S^0 \xrightarrow{\times 2} S^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0)$, since the degree 2 map on S^0 induces multiplication by 2 on the *Spin* bordism groups.

These groups have an interpretation as $Z/2$ -Spin bordism. This is the bordism theory consisting of a manifold M with a codimension-one submanifold N ; an orientation on $M - N$ which does not extend across any component of N ; an orientation of the normal bundle of N in M ; a $Spin$ structure on $M - N$; a $Spin$ structure on N ; and diffeomorphisms which preserve the $Spin$ structures from N to the boundary components of $M - N$. We do not need this interpretation in the sequel.

LEMMA 7. *There exists a cofibration sequence*

$$\mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(2r - 1) \rightarrow \Sigma^2 \mathbf{M}(2r + 1) \tag{8}$$

Hence we get long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow (\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge Z/2)_i \rightarrow MPin_i^+ \xrightarrow{\psi} MPin_{i-2}^- \rightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow (\mathbf{MSpin} \wedge Z/2)_i \rightarrow MPin_i^- \xrightarrow{\psi} MPin_{i-2}^+ \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map ψ is defined by starting with a manifold M , finding a submanifold $N \subset M$ dual to ω_1 , and then forming the transverse intersection, $N \cap N$. Notice that ψ can also be described by taking the natural map $\psi: \mathbf{M}(r) \rightarrow \Sigma^2 \mathbf{M}(r + 2)$ and smashing it with \mathbf{MSpin} . In particular, the two exact sequences above decompose in the same way that \mathbf{MSpin} does.

Proof. Recall that $T(r\xi) = RP^\infty/RP^{r-1}$. Indeed, $RP^n \subset RP^{n+r}$ with normal bundle $r\xi|_{RP^n}$. Hence we have a map $RP^{n+r} \rightarrow T(r\xi|_{RP^n})$ and the composite $RP^n \subset RP^{n+r} \rightarrow T(r\xi|_{RP^n})$ is the zero-section. Hence a copy of RP^{r-1} disjoint from RP^n in RP^{n+r} is null-homotopic in $T(r\xi|_{RP^n})$, so we get a map $RP^{n+r}/RP^{r-1} \rightarrow T(r\xi|_{RP^n})$ which is easily checked to be a homotopy equivalence.

The cofibration sequence is now clear since RP^{2r}/RP^{2r-2} is homotopy equivalent to $T((2r-1)\xi|_{RP^1})$ and this is $\Sigma^{2r-1}\mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0)$.

The description of the map ψ also follows. Consider a $Spin$ boundary M^{m+2r-1} and a map $f: M \rightarrow T((2r-1)\xi)$. The map ψ sends f to the composite $M \rightarrow T((2r+3)\xi)$ of f and the map $g: T((2r+1)\xi) \rightarrow T((2r+3)\xi)$. To see what happens to the underlying Pin manifolds, we can assume that f lands in $T((2r-1)\xi|_{RP^N})$ for some large N , and we get a cofibration sequence like (8) but taking place inside of RP^{N+2r+1} instead of RP^∞ . We make the new map transverse to the zero-section to get out Pin manifold, P . The map g becomes a map $g: T((2r+1)\xi|_{RP^N}) \rightarrow T((2r+3)\xi|_{RP^{N-2}})$, so to get $\psi(P)$ we make the map

The other cases are similar so we only discuss the key points. Begin with the next case, $8k + 2$ and start with $k = 0$. This means we are trying to identify RP^2 in $MPin^- = Z/8$. Applying ψ and consulting the first table from Proposition 9, we see that it is a generator. We can now use induction and the four-fold iterate of ψ to handle the case $n(J)$ even. In the case $n(J)$ is odd, we need to identify $M_J \times RP^2$. It lives in a group of order 4, and table one of Proposition 10, shows that ψ is an isomorphism, so $M_J \times RP^2$ is of order 2 in Pin^- bordism, since M_J has order 2 in Pin^+ bordism. Hence we define $X(s, J, 8k + 2)$ as above using the four-fold iterate of ψ . The cases $8k + 4$ and $8k + 6$ are done in the same way.

Now let us define $X(J, 2n) = Y(J, 2n)$ if $n(J)$ is even; for $n(J)$ odd, define $X(J, 2n) = X(2^{\phi(2n)+1} - (2n + 1), J, 2n)$. From the above discussion, we know the orders of each of the $X(J, 2n)$'s: let $C(J, 2n)$ denote a cyclic group of this order with a fixed generator and map $C(J, 2n)$ to $MPin^\pm$ by sending the fixed generator to $X(J, 2n)$. We get maps

$$\oplus_{J,n} C(J, 4n) \rightarrow MPin^+_* \text{ and } \oplus_{J,n} C(J, 4n + 2) \rightarrow MPin^-_*.$$

For n fixed we see from above that $\oplus_{J,n} C(J, 4n) \rightarrow MPin^+_*$ and $\oplus_{J,n} C(J, 4n + 2) \rightarrow MPin^-_*$ are split injective. Theorem 3 asserts that these maps are still split injective when we also sum over the n .

We do the Pin^+ case. Fix a dimension $r = 8k$. Note that $C(J, 4n)$ lands in dimension r iff $r = 4n(J) + 4n$. If $n(J)$ is even, then $C(J, 4n)$ has order 2^{2n+1} and if $n(J)$ is odd, $C(J, 4n)$ has order 2^{2n+2} . In particular, two $C(J, 4n)$'s which land in the same dimension and have the same order have the same n and the same $n(J)$. If $r = 8k + 4$ we get different numbers but the same conclusion. Finally note that both $\oplus_{r=4n(J)+4n} C(J, 4n)$ and $MPin^+_r$ have the same number of $Z/2^k$ summands for all $k > 1$, and if we restrict the map $\oplus_{r=4n(J)+4n} C(J, 4n) \rightarrow MPin^+_r$ to the summands of order 2^k we get a split injection. It is an elementary algebra exercise to verify that this means that the map is a split injection and the complementary summand is a $Z/2$ vector space.

The Pin^- case is entirely similar.

The proof of Corollary 5

We begin with a general discussion of characteristic numbers. Let BG be a space such as $BSO, BPin^+$, etc. equipped with a map to BO . Let M be a manifold with a G structure; i.e. the tangent bundle map $M \rightarrow BO$ has a fixed lift to a map $\tau : M \rightarrow BG$. Then M^n determines a homomorphism $H^n(BG; Z/2) \rightarrow Z/2$ given by sending $x \in H^n(BG; Z/2)$ to $\tau^*(x)$ evaluated on the fundamental class of M . This defines a homomorphism $T : \Omega_n^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(BG; Z/2), Z/2)$. If we let $M(G)$ denote the Thom spectrum for the inverse to the universal bundle over BO pulled-back to

BG , the Thom isomorphism shows that we can equally regard T as a homomorphism $T: \Omega_n^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(M(G); Z/2), Z/2)$. If a homomorphism $b: H^n(M(G); Z/2) \rightarrow Z/2$ is to be in the image of T , then $b(ax) = 0$ for any a in the mod 2 Steenrod algebra of dimension at least 1 and any $x \in H^*(M(G); Z/2)$. If we let \mathcal{A} denote the mod 2 Steenrod algebra, we can turn $Z/2$ into an \mathcal{A} module by letting all the Sq^i act trivially. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H^n(M(G); Z/2), Z/2) \subset \text{Hom}(H^n(M(G); Z/2), Z/2)$ is precisely the set of homomorphisms satisfying our condition and Condition P of [ABP2] merely says that the image of T is precisely $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H^n(M(G); Z/2), Z/2)$. (It is also true that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H^n(M(G); Z/2), Z/2) = E_2^{0,n}(M(G))$ in the Adams spectral sequence for $\pi_*(M(G))$. Moreover, $E_\infty^{0,n}(M(G)) \subset E_2^{0,n}(M(G))$ is precisely the image of T . Hence the collapse of the Adams spectral sequence is sufficient for $M(G)$ to have Property P.)

Now $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H^n(M(G); Z/2), Z/2)$ behaves like any other Hom , so we can apply it to the short exact sequences of cohomology groups coming from (8). It is not hard to see directly that $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle) = Z/2$ if $r = 0$; $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(Z/2, 0) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = Z/2$ if $r = 2$ and both groups are 0 otherwise. Theorem 4.4 of [ABP2] says that $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle) = Z/2$ if $r = 0$ or $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = Z/2$ if $r = 2$ or $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and both groups are 0 otherwise. One can also check by hand that $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle) = Z/2$ if $r = 0$ and is 0 for $r < 3$ and that $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) = Z/2$ if $r = 2$ and is 0 otherwise for $r < 5$. By comparing the two exact sequences coming from (8) we can compute $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle)$ and $E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle)$. More importantly, we can see that $\psi: E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle) \rightarrow E_2^{0,r-2}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle)$ and $\psi: E_2^{0,r}(\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle) \rightarrow E_2^{0,r-2}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle)$ are both epic. Since $\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle \rightarrow E_2^{0,r-2}(\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle)$ are both epic. Since $\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{M}(1) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle$ satisfy Property P by [ABP2], this shows that $\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{M}(3) \wedge \mathbf{bo}\langle 2 \rangle$ also satisfy Property P. The Eilenberg–MacLane summands also satisfy Property P, hence so does $MPin^+$.

Since $H^*(BO; Z/2) \rightarrow H^*(BPin^+; Z/2)$ is onto, it follows formally that a manifold, M^n , is unoriented bordant to a Pin^+ manifold iff all the characteristic numbers in the kernel of $H^n(BO; Z/2) \rightarrow H^n(BPin^+; Z/2)$ vanish on M . This kernel is the ideal in $H^*(BO; Z/2)$ generated by w_2 and its images under the Steenrod algebra: e.g. w_3 is in the kernel. It is always the case however that, if all the characteristic BO -numbers of a manifold which involve $x \in H^r(BO; Z/2)$ vanish, then all the numbers involving $a(x)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ also vanish. Hence M is bordant to a Pin^+ manifold iff all tangential characteristic numbers involving w_2 vanish.

We may as well finish by remarking that $MSpin \wedge Z/2$ satisfies Property P and that a manifold is unoriented bordant to an element in $MSpin \wedge Z/2$ iff all the numbers involving ω_2 and ω_1^2 vanish.

The tables

Here are the promised Pin^+ bordism groups through dimension 95, arranged in two tables. The second table gives $A(n)$, the number of $Z/2$ summands in $MPin_n^+$. The first table gives numbers $\pi(n)$ which enable us to find the other summands in dimensions congruent to 0 mod 4. For $MPin_{8n+4}^+$, the summands of order greater than 2 are $\oplus \pi(i)Z/2^{4n+4-2i}$ beginning with $i=0$ and continuing until $4n+4-2i=2$. For $MPin_{8n+8}^+$, the summands of order greater than 2 are $\oplus \pi(i)Z/2^{4n+5-2i}$ beginning with $i=0$ and continuing until $4n+4-2i=3$. As an example, $28=8 \cdot 3+4$ so $MPin_{28}^+ = 4Z/2 \oplus (1Z/2^{16} \oplus 0Z/2^{14} \oplus 1Z/2^{12} \oplus 1Z/2^{10} \oplus 2Z/2^8 \oplus 2Z/2^6 \oplus 4Z/2^4 \oplus 4Z/2^2)$

		n		$\pi(n)$				n		$\pi(n)$	
0	1	4	2	8	7	12	21	16	55	20	137
1	0	5	2	9	8	13	24	17	66	21	165
2	1	6	4	10	12	14	34	18	88	22	210
3	1	7	4	11	14	15	41	19	105	23	253

		n		$A(n)$				n		$A(n)$					
0	1	12	0	24	6	36	17	48	113	60	394	72	1556	84	4965
1	0	13	1	25	5	37	34	49	130	61	526	73	1764	85	5843
2	1	14	1	26	20	38	41	50	244	62	606	74	2440	86	6541
3	1	15	0	27	17	39	27	51	222	63	548	75	2423	87	6605
4	0	16	2	28	4	40	43	52	152	64	673	76	2224	88	7536
5	0	17	1	29	12	41	49	53	220	65	771	77	2694	89	8412
6	0	18	8	30	15	42	109	54	258	66	1150	78	3041	90	10515
7	0	19	7	31	8	43	96	55	218	67	1114	79	2995	91	10814
8	1	20	1	32	16	44	54	56	281	68	959	80	3475	92	10730
9	0	21	4	33	17	45	89	57	324	69	1209	81	3907	93	12365
10	3	22	5	34	48	46	106	58	534	70	1378	82	5103	94	13750
11	3	23	2	35	41	47	81	59	503	71	1310	83	5168	95	14135

REFERENCES

[ABP1] D. W. ANDERSON, E. H. BROWN, Jr. and F. P. PETERSON, *The structure of the Spin cobordism ring.* Ann. of Math., 86, 271-298 (1967).
 [ABP2] D. W. ANDERSON, E. H. BROWN, Jr. and F. P. PETERSON, *Pin cobordism and related topics.* Comment. Math. Helv. 44, 462-468 (1969).
 [AT1] S. ARAKI and H. TODA, *Multiplicative structures in mod q cohomology theories I.* Osaka J. Math. 2, 71-115 (1965).
 [AT2] S. ARAKI and H. TODA, *Multiplicative structures in mod q cohomology theories II.* Osaka J. Math. 3, 81-120 (1966).

- [ABS] M. F. ATIYAH, R. BOTT and A. SHAPIRO, *Clifford modules*. *Topology* 3 (Suppl. 1), 3–38 (1964).
- [G] V. GIAMBALVO, *Pin and Pin' cobordism*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39, 395–401 (1973).
- [KT] R. C. KIRBY and L. R. TAYLOR, *Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds* (preprint).
- [L] T. Y. LAM, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1973.

Department of Mathematics
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, California, USA

Department of Mathematics
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

Received June 29, 1989

